THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.3812 of 2007

Date: 27.02.2007

Between:

Namala Aruna Kumari and another.

....Petitioners

and

The Sub-Registrar.

....Respondent.

-
-
-
-
-
-
-

O R D E R:

The petitioners state that their vendor executed a document in respect of plot No.49 in Sy.Nos.41 to 44 of Purushothapuram Village of Pendurthy Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, in their favour, and that the same was presented before the respondent, for registration. The grievance of the petitioners is that the respondent is not accepting the document for registration, on the ground that the Government issued a notification under Section 22-A of the Registration Act, 1908 (for short 'the Act'), in respect of the land in the said survey numbers. This writ petition is filed seeking appropriate directions to the respondent in this regard.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

Section 22-A of the Act empowered the Government to issue notifications almost preventing the registration of documents in respect of the lands to be mentioned therein. Such a power was held to be *ultra vires* and the provision was struck down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***State of Rajasthan v. Basanth Nahata***[1].

Once the sole basis for the respondent to refuse registration had disappeared, he is under obligation to receive the documents and consider the same in accordance with the

provisions of the Stamp Act and the Registration Act.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondent to receive and process the document presented by the petitioners and take necessary steps in accordance with the provisions of the Stamp Act and the Registration Act, within one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

27.02.2007

GJ

---

[1] AIR 2005 SC 3401 = (2005) 12 SCC 77